12 May 2018

**Comments of the Noncommercial Stakeholders Group on the
Proposed Temporary Specification for gTLD Registration (Published 11 May 2018)**

The Noncommercial Stakeholders Group (NCSG) would like to comment promptly on the long-awaited temporary specification for gTLD Registration data. We thought it might be most useful if we did a markup on the actual draft document, so in the pages that follow we have converted ICANN’s document to Word, and have added our commentary throughout, highlighting our suggested edits and feedback in yellow. It is our sincere hope that this will facilitate the ICANN Board into actually taking our comments and proposed text into consideration in a more fulsome manner. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Yours sincerely,

Dr Farzaneh Badii

Chair, Noncommercial Stakeholders Group

The General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) was adopted by the European Union (EU) in April 2016 and takes effect on 25 May 2018 uniformly across the EU countries. We understand that, in the view of many data protection authorities both within EU countries, and in many other of the 120 countries with data protection law, current practices and policies are not in compliance with data protection law. Over the past year, ICANN organization (ICANN org) has consulted with contracted parties, European data protection authorities, legal experts, and interested governments and community stakeholders to understand the potential impact of the GDPR to Personal Data that is Processed by certain participants in the gTLD domain name ecosystem (including Registry Operators and Registrars) pursuant to ICANN policies and contracts between ICANN and such participants that are subject to the GDPR.

*NCSG comment: ICANN persists in ignoring the fact that its policies have violated data protection law over the past 18 years. Who does the organization actually think they are fooling? Isn’t it time to admit that the existing policies, as has been pointed out many times by the data protection authorities, are not compliant with existing data protection law? Please see the suggested addition.d*

This Temporary Specification for gTLD Registration Data (Temporary Specification) establishes temporary requirements to allow ICANN and gTLD registry operators and registrars to continue to comply with existing ICANN contractual requirements and community-developed policies in light of the GDPR. Consistent with ICANN’s stated objective to comply with the GDPR while maintaining the existing WHOIS system to the greatest extent possible, the Temporary Specification maintains robust collection of Registration Data (including Registrant, Administrative, and Technical contact information), but restricts most Personal Data to layered/tiered access. Third party data users with a legitimate purpose for accessing the non-public Personal Data would be able to request such access through Registrars and Registry Operators. Such data users would also maintain the ability to contact the Registrant or Administrative and Technical contacts through an anonymized email or web form. The Temporary Specification would be implemented where required because of a nexus to the European Economic Area, while providing flexibility to Registry Operators and Registrars to apply the requirements on a global basis based on implementation, commercial reasonableness and fairness considerations. The Temporary Specification would apply to all registrations, without requiring Registrars to differentiate between registrations of legal and natural persons. It would also include data processing agreements between and among ICANN, Registry Operators, Registrars, and Data Escrow Agents as necessary for compliance with the GDPR.

*NCSG Comments: We have noted many times that we disagree with the ICANN goal of maintaining access to registrant data to the greatest extent possible. WHOIS is broken, and it is clear that publishing registrant data is harmful to registrants. While we applaud the tiered access concept, and the contact through web forms on an anonymous basis, we have grave concerns about how you anticipate this third party access would work. We also applaud the avoidance of requiring contracted parties to distinguish between legal and natural persons. However, the requirement to obey privacy law in other jurisdictions is being blithely ignored in this paragraph. We are well aware that the only thing driving ICANN’s GDPR compliance is the reality that the contracted parties are going to be held hostage and liable to very heavy fines, but we would remind you that many jurisdictions far from the EEA are upgrading their own data protection legislation. Do you imagine that it has not crossed the minds of other legislators, that the chances of compliance with law improve remarkably when the fines are significant, and individuals and civil society are empowered to sue? Are we going to go through a slow and painful process as contracted parties operating in multiple jurisdictions discover they are subject to new and more rigorously enforced legislation? At the very least, point out that it would be prudent to apply the same rules everywhere.*

This Temporary Specification was adopted by resolution of the ICANN Board of Directors

(ICANN Board) on [TBD], pursuant to the requirements for the establishment of Temporary Policies and Temporary Specification or Policies (as such terms are defined in ICANN’s registry agreements and registrar accreditation agreements). An advisory statement containing a detailed explanation of the ICANN Board’s reasons for adopting this Temporary Specification is available here <<TBD>>
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1. Scope

1.1. Terms and acronyms used in this Temporary Specification are defined in Section 2.

1.2. This Temporary Specification applies to all gTLD Registry Operators and ICANN- accredited Registrars.

1.3. Where specified, this Temporary Specification includes requirements for registrations for which Registry Operator and Registrar are required to, or MAY opt to, apply the “Interim Model for Compliance with ICANN Agreements and Policies in Relation to the European Union’s General Data Protection Regulation”.

*NCSG Comment: As noted above, if you actually believe in compliance with law, broaden this to include applicable data protection law.*

1.4. The requirements of this Temporary Specification supersede and replace the requirements contained in Registry Operator’s Registry Agreement and Registrar’s Registrar Accreditation Agreement regarding the matters contained in this Temporary Specification. To the extent there is a conflict between the requirements of this Temporary Specification and the requirements of Registry Operator’s Registry Agreement and Registrar’s Registrar Accreditation Agreement, the terms of this Temporary Specification SHALL control, unless ICANN determines in its reasonable discretion that this Temporary Specification SHALL NOT control.

*NCSG Comment: This is insufficiently clear, both here and in the entire text. Stipulate precisely which clauses have been superceded. This should not remain open to stakeholder interpretation, and further wrangling about which clauses still apply. Reissue the documents as drafts promptly so that we may comment on them.*

2. Definitions and Interpretation

The terms “MAY”, “MUST”, “MUST NOT”, “REQUIRED”, “RECOMMENDED”, “SHALL”, “SHALL NOT”, “SHOULD NOT” and “SHOULD” are used to indicate the requirement level in accordance

with RFC 2119, which is available at [http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt.](http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt)

“Consent”, “Controller”, “Personal Data”, “Processing”, and “Processor” SHALL have the same definition as Article 4 of the GDPR.

“Registration Data” means data collected from a natural and legal person in connection with a

domain name registration.

“Registered Name Holder” SHALL have the meaning given in the Registrar Accreditation

Agreement.

“Registered Name” SHALL have the meaning given in the Registrar Accreditation Agreement

“gTLD” SHALL have the meaning given in the Registrar Accreditation Agreement.

“Registration Data Directory Services” refers to the collective of WHOIS, Web-based WHOIS, and RDAP services.

“Registrar Accreditation Agreement” means any Registrar Accreditation Agreement between a Registrar and ICANN that is based on that certain 2013 Registrar Accreditation Agreement approved by the ICANN Board on June 27, 2013 (“2013 Registrar Accreditation Agreement”) or any successor to such agreements that is approved by the ICANN Board.

“Registry Agreement” means any gTLD registry agreement between Registry Operator and ICANN, including any Registry Agreement that is based on the new gTLD Registry Agreement approved by the ICANN Board on 2 July 2013, as amended (“Base Registry Agreement”).

If a term is capitalized but not defined in this Temporary Specification, such term SHALL have the meaning given to it in the Registry Agreement or Registrar Accreditation Agreement, as applicable.

Unless otherwise specifically provided for herein, the term “or” SHALL NOT be deemed to be exclusive.

When Registry Operator and Registrar are referenced together in a provision of this Temporary Specification, each such provision represents a separate requirement and obligation of each Registry Operator and each Registrar pursuant to its respective Registry Agreement or Registrar

Accreditation Agreement.

*NCSG Comment: Please insert an acronym list. Others outside of ICANN need to understand this document as a stand-alone.*

3. Policy Effective Date

This Temporary Specification is effective as of .

4. Lawfulness and Purposes of Processing gTLD Registration Data

Stakeholders in the Internet’s unique identifier system have a legitimate interest in maintaining the availability of Registration Data Directory Services to promote trust, confidence, and safety in the Internet. Section 4.6(e) of ICANN's Bylaws state: “Subject to applicable laws, ICANN shall use commercially reasonable efforts to enforce its policies relating to registration directory services and shall work with Supporting Organizations and Advisory Committees to explore structural changes to improve accuracy and access to generic top-level domain registration data, as well as consider safeguards for protecting such data.” Thus, Processing Personal Data in Registration Data by Registry Operator and Registrar, as required and permitted under the Registry Operator’s Registry Agreement with ICANN and Registrar’s Registrar Accreditation Agreement, is needed to ensure a coordinated, stable and secure operation of the Internet's unique identifier system.

*NCSG Comment: This is a remarkably weak paragraph and argument, for one of the most important issues in data protection: the purpose of the processing. The fact that the ICANN Bylaws as quoted speak to the requirement for WHOIS data is no justification for the violation of data protection law…not now, and not for the past 18 years. Where are the actual facts that demonstrate a need to provide open access to personal and confidential data? What is the purpose of the processing, and is it legitimate? Citing the Bylaws does not render it legitimate.*

*Serving third party stakeholders is not a legitimate purpose of a data processing activity. Registrant data is processed to provide domain names to registrants, in accordance with standards for redress set by ICANN. The fact that it is convenient to simply ignore data protection rights and dump the data in a directory, as well as permit third parties to access, process and resell it is not a legitimate purpose either. Punting to the language in the Registrars Accreditation Agreement and Registries Agreement muddies the water even further, as we know that many of the provisions in those contracts are not in compliance with the GDPR.*

*Please provide a clear statement of the purpose of collecting and using registrant data. Do not start with all the vast myriad of actors who want access to that data. Start with ICANN’s limited role. Explain ICANN’s role as Data Controller in this section.*

However, such Processing must be in a manner that complies with the GDPR, including on the basis of a specific identified purpose for such Processing. Accordingly, Personal Data included in Registration Data may be processed on the basis of a legitimate interest, and only for the following legitimate purposes:

~~4.1. Providing access to accurate, reliable, and uniform Registration Data based on legitimate purposes not outweighed by the fundamental rights of relevant data subjects, consistent with GDPR;~~

*NCSG Comment: This is not a primary purpose. See our new 4.7.*

4.1 Maintaining reasonably accurate and reliable registration data, in order to facilitate the registration of domain names and in a fair, secure, and reliable manner, respecting their fundamental rights while protecting the security and stability of the DNS.

4.2. Enabling a reliable mechanism for ~~identifying and~~ contacting the Registered

Name Holder;

4.3. At the request of the Registered Name Holder, enabling the publication of technical and administrative points of contact who administer the domain name;

4.4. Providing reasonably accurate and up-to-date information about the technical and administrative points of contact administering the domain names;

4.5. Supporting a framework to address issues involving domain name registrations, including but not limited to: ~~consumer protection~~, investigation of cybercrime, DNS abuse, and intellectual property protection;

*NCSG comment: We object to leading with consumer protection. Given the vagueness, and the lack of reliable statistics which actually support the assertion that the RDS directory is useful in consumer protection as it relates to the DNS (anything else being content, which is outside ICANN’s remit), ICANN should not persist in pushing the consumer protection angle.*

4.6. Providing a framework to address appropriate law enforcement needs;

*NCSG Comment: What needs? If you are talking about access to data, then specify the requirements. E.g. Providing access to registrant data for certain law enforcement investigations, as provided by applicable law.*

*4.7 Providing access to registrant data to specified third parties, who have provided evidence of legitimate need for a purpose which accords with ICANN’s limited remit in managing the DNS.*

4.7. Facilitating the provision of zone files of gTLDs to Internet users;

4.8. Providing mechanisms for safeguarding Registered Name Holders' Registration Data in the event of a business or technical failure, or other unavailability of a Registrar or Registry Operator;

4.9. Coordinating dispute resolution services for certain disputes concerning domain names; and

4.10. Handling contractual compliance monitoring, audits, and complaints submitted by Registry Operators, Registrars, Registered Name Holders, and other Internet users.

5. Requirements Applicable to Registry Operators and Registrars in their roles as data processors for ICANN

5.1. **Publication of Registration Data.** Registry Operator and Registrar MUST comply with the requirements of, and MUST provide public access to Registration Data in accordance with, Appendix A attached hereto (“**Appendix A**”), which SHALL modify the requirements of Registry Operator’s Registry Agreement with ICANN and Registrar’s Registrar Accreditation Agreement with ICANN.

NCSG Comments: Explain the role of the contracted parties as data processors to fulfill the goals set out in ICANN’s mission statement. Certainly they are data controllers with respect to any direct customer relationships they have and maintain, but ICANN needs to be clear that most of the requirements listed in the contracts are data processing requirements which are done at the behest of ICANN, to fulfill its role and mission, and its stated objectives as a data controller.

5.2. **Registrar and Registry Operator Service Level Agreement.** Registrar and Registry Operator MUST comply with the additional requirements and the updated Registration Data Directory Services Service Level Agreements set forth in Appendix B attached hereto (“**Appendix B**”), which SHALL modify the requirements of Registry Operator’s Registry Agreement with ICANN and Registrar’s Registrar Accreditation Agreement with ICANN.

5.3. **Data Escrow.** Registry Operator and Registrar MUST comply with the additional requirements of Registration Data escrow procedures set forth in Appendix C attached hereto (“**Appendix C**”), which SHALL modify the requirements of Registry Operator’s Registry Agreement with ICANN and Registrar’s Registrar Accreditation Agreement with ICANN.

5.4. **Data Processing Requirements.** Registry Operator and Registrar MUST comply with the requirements of, and MUST Process Personal Data in accordance with the terms and conditions set forth in Appendix D attached hereto (“**Appendix**

**D**”).

5.5. **International Data Transfers between Registry Operator, Registrar, and ICANN.**

In the course of performing the requirements under this Temporary Specification, the Registry Agreement, and Registrar Accreditation Agreement, it may be necessary for Registry Operator, Registrar and/or ICANN to transfer Personal Data to a country that is not deemed adequate by the European Commission per Article 45(1) GDPR. In such a case, the transfer of Personal Data by ICANN, Registry Operators, and/or Registrar, as the case may be, will Process the Personal Data on the basis of adequate safeguards permitted under Chapter V GDPR, including the use of Standard Contractual Clauses (2004/915/EC) (or its successor clauses), and each of ICANN, Registry Operator and/or Registrar MUST comply with such appropriate safeguards.

5.6. **Uniform Rapid Suspension (URS).** Registrar and Registry Operator MUST comply with the additional requirements set forth in Appendix E attached hereto (“**Appendix E**”).

5.7. **Uniform Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy.** Registrar and Registry Operator MUST comply with the additional requirements set forth in Appendix F attached hereto (“**Appendix F**”).

5.8. **ICANN Contractual Compliance.** Registrar and Registry Operator MUST provide reasonable access to Registration Data to ICANN upon reasonable notice and request from ICANN for the purpose of investigating compliance-related inquiries and enforcement of the Registry Agreement, Registrar Accreditation Agreement and ICANN Consensus Policies, in its role as data controller.

6. Requirements Applicable to Registry Operators Only

6.1. **Bulk Registration Data Access to ICANN.** Registry Operator MUST comply with, and MUST provide ICANN with periodic access to Registration Data in accordance with Appendix G attached hereto (“**Appendix G**”).

6.2. **Registry Monthly Reports.** Registry Operator MUST comply with the additional Registry Monthly Reports requirements set forth in Appendix H attached hereto (“**Appendix H**”).

6.3. **Registry-Registrar Agreements.**

6.3.1. Registry Operator MUST include Processing provisions in its Registry- Registrar Agreement with Registrar concerning the handling of Personal Data in a manner that complies with Article 28 GDPR.

6.3.2. Registry Operator MAY amend or restate its Registry-Registrar

Agreement to incorporate data processing terms and conditions substantially similar to the requirements provided at **[INSERT LINK TO APPROVED FORM],** without any further approval of ICANN, provided that Registry Operator MUST promptly deliver any such amended or restated Registry-Registrar Agreement to ICANN. Upon ICANN’s receipt thereof, such amended or restated Registry-Registrar Agreements will be deemed to supplement or replace, as applicable, the approved Registry-Registry Agreement that is attached as an appendix (if any) to Registry Operator’s Registry Agreement.

7. Requirements Applicable to Registrars Only

*NCSG Comment: ICANN should recognize its role as a data controller, and provide a standard explanation to all registrants (globally) about all data processing that is carried on by registries and registrars as part of their contractual compliance obligations. It should explain its own compliance operations, the auditing and system testing it engages in, its role in complex investigations and emergency reassignment of names in the event of registrar default, its role in escrow as a party to the contract with the escrow agent, etc. Registrars should simply point to the weblink where this is outlined, for operations in which they are the processor. They have different obligations in their customer relationships, depending on what services they offer, and they need to explain those roles as a controller if indeed they have them.*

7.1. **Notices to Registered Name Holders Regarding Data Processing.** Registrar SHALL provide notice to each existing, new or renewed Registered Name Holder stating:

7.1.1. The specific purposes for which any Personal Data will be Processed by the Registrar;

7.1.2. The intended recipients or categories of recipients of the Personal Data (including the Registry Operator and others who will receive the Personal Data from Registry Operator);

7.1.3. Which data are obligatory and which data, if any, are voluntary;

7.1.4. How the Registered Name Holder or data subject can access and, if necessary, rectify Personal Data held about them;

7.1.5. The identity and the contact details of the Registrar (as controller) and, where applicable, of the Registrar’s representative in the European Economic Area;

*NCSG Comment: We strongly believe that for most RDS Directory functions, the Registrars are processors. Possibly co-controllers, but that would depend on the circumstances. ICANN needs to identify itself as a Controller, and name a Chief Privacy Officer. In situations where the accredited registrar operates through resellers, this needs to be made clear to registrants, and the relationship of the reseller to the registrant, including all manner of products and services rendered, needs to be clarified from a data protection perspective.*

7.1.6. The contact details of its data protection officer, where applicable;

7.1.7. The specified legitimate interest for Processing under Article 6(1)(f) GDPR;

7.1.8. The recipients or categories of recipients of the Personal Data, if any;

*NCSG Comment: In a proper accreditation scheme, each access to personal data would be logged, and recipients of data would be identifiable. The nature of this regime would be described, not the details of the recipient, and notification rights established and explained depending on applicable law. While this regime is not yet in place and will take years to develop (as international standards) the best practices need to be described.*

7.1.9. Where applicable, the fact that the Registrar intends to transfer Personal Data to a third country or international organization and the existence or absence of an adequacy decision by the Commission, or in the case of transfers referred to in Article 46 or 47 GDPR, or the second subparagraph of Article 49(1) GDPR, reference to the appropriate or suitable safeguards and the means by which to obtain a copy of them or where they have been made available.

7.1.10. The period for which the Personal Data will be stored, or if that is not possible, the criteria used to determine that period;

7.1.11. The existence of the right to request from the Registrar access to and rectification or erasure of Personal Data or restriction of Processing concerning the Registered Name Holder or data subject or to object to Processing as well as the right to data portability;

7.1.12. Compliance with Article 6(1)(a) GDPR and Article 9(2)(a) GDPR, where the

Registrar relies on consent of the Registered Name Holder for Processing;

7.1.13. The right of the Registered Name Holder or data subject to lodge a complaint with a relevant supervisory authority;

7.1.14. Whether the provision of Personal Data is a statutory or contractual requirement, or a requirement necessary to enter into a contract, as well as whether the Registered Name Holder is obliged to provide the

Personal Data and of the possible consequences of failure to provide such

Personal Data; and

7.1.15. The existence of automated decision-making, including profiling, referred to in Article 22(1) and (4) GDPR and, at least in those cases, meaningful information about the logic involved, as well as the significance and the envisaged consequences of such Processing for the data subject.

The requirements of this Section 7.1 shall supersede and replace the requirements of Section 3.7.7.4 of the Registrar Accreditation Agreement.

7.2. **Additional Publication of Registration Data.**

7.2.1. As soon as commercially reasonable, Registrar MUST provide the opportunity for the Registered Name Holder to provide its Consent to publish the additional contact information outlined in Section 2.3 of Appendix A for the Registered Name Holder.

*NCSG Comment: Since this is a data processing obligation required by ICANN, ICANN should develop the consent language.*

7.2.2. Registrar MAY provide the opportunity for other contacts to provide Consent to publish additional contact information outlined in Section 2.4 of Appendix A.

*NCSG Comment: Since this is a data processing obligation required by ICANN, ICANN should develop the consent language.*

7.2.3. Where such Consent is sought, the request for Consent SHALL be presented in a manner which is clearly distinguishable from other matters (including other Personal Data Processed based on a legitimate

interest), in an intelligible and easily accessible form, using clear and plain

language. The Registered Name Holder SHALL have the right to withdraw its Consent at any time. **The withdrawal of Consent SHALL NOT affect the lawfulness of Processing based on Consent obtained before the withdrawal.**

*NCSG Comment: This is quite confusing. Withdrawal of consent does not make the original processing unlawful…but it does impose significant burdens on removing the data that is in excess of requirements for the service. This was well explained in the ECO playbook, and it might be more useful to discuss those obligations here than defend the original processing.*

7.2.4. Registrar MUST publish the additional contact information for which it has received Consent.

*NCSG Comment: ICANN cannot compel Registrars to publish additional contact information. It is up to the Registrar to determine if the “consent” obtained or offered by a registrant meets the standard of the GDPR. Given the hysterical journalism in the trade media about how the restrictions on WHOIS will cause all kinds of harm, people may offer contact information without any understanding of what the risks are. Such consent is not enlightened and informed.*

7.3. **Transfer Policy.** Registrar MUST comply with the supplemental procedures to the Transfer Policy set forth in Appendix I attached hereto (“**Appendix I**”).

Appendix A: Registration Data Directory Services

**1. Registration Data Directory Services**

This Section modifies the relevant requirements of following: (i) the Registration Data Directory Service (WHOIS) Specification of the 2013 Registrar Accreditation Agreement; (ii) in the case of a Registry Agreement that is modeled after the Base Registry Agreement, Section 1 of Specification 4 of the Base Registry Agreement; (iii) in the case of a Registry Agreement that is not modeled on the Base Registry Agreement, the provisions of such Registry Agreement that

are comparable to the provisions of Section 1 of Specification 4 of the Base Registry Agreement;

and (iv) provisions 10 of the Registry Registration Data Directory Services Consistent Labeling and Display Policy.

1.1. Registrar and Registry Operator MUST operate a Registration Data Access Protocol (RDAP) service. ICANN and the community will define the appropriate profile(s) by 31 July 2018. ICANN will subsequently give notice to implement such service, and Registrar and Registry Operator SHALL implement the service no later than 135 days after being requested by ICANN. Registrar and Registry

Operator MAY operate a pilot RDAP service before the date upon which an RDAP (production) service is required.

**1.2. RDDS Search Capabilities**

1.2.1. Where search capabilities are permitted and offered, Registry Operator and Registrar MUST: (1) ensure such search capability is in compliance with all applicable privacy laws or policies, (2) only do searches on data otherwise available to the querying user based on their access level and MUST only provide results otherwise available to the querying user based on their access level; and (3) ensure such search capability is otherwise consistent with the requirements of this Temporary Specification regarding access to public and non-public Registration Data.

1.2.2. Search capabilities MUST be offered on the web-based Directory Service and/or RDAP.

**2. Requirements for Processing Personal Data in Public RDDS with a Nexus to the**

**European Economic Area (EEA)**

2.1. Registry Operator and Registrar MUST apply the requirements in Section 4 of this

Appendix to Personal Data included in Registration Data where:

(i) the Registrar or Registry Operator is established in the EEA as provided in Article 3(1) GDPR and Process Personal Data included in Registration Data;

(ii) the Registrar or Registry Operator is established outside the EEA and offers registration services to Registered Name Holder located in the EEA as contemplated by Article 3(2) GDPR that involves the Processing of Personal Data from registrants located in the EEA; or

(iii) the Registrar or Registry Operator is located outside the EEA and Processes Personal Data included in Registration Data and where the Registry Operator or Registrar engages a Processor located within the EEA to Process such Personal Data.

2.2. For fields that Sections 2.3 and 2.4 of this Appendix requires to be “redacted”, Registrar and Registry Operator MUST provide in the value section of the redacted field the text: “REDACTED FOR PRIVACY”. Prior to the required date of implementation of RDAP, Registrar and Registry Operator MAY (i) provide no information in the value section of the redacted field; or (ii) not publish the redacted field.

2.3. In responses to domain name queries, Registrar and Registry Operator MUST treat the following Registrant fields as “redacted” unless the Registered Name Holder has provided Consent to publish the Registered Name Holder’s data:

• Registry Registrant ID

• Registrant Name

• Registrant Street

• Registrant City

• Registrant Postal Code

• Registrant Phone

• Registrant Phone Ext

• Registrant Fax

• Registrant Fax Ext

2.4. In responses to domain name queries, Registrar and Registry Operator MUST treat the following fields as “redacted” unless the contact (e.g., Admin, Tech) has provided Consent to publish the contact’s data:

• Registry Admin/Tech/Other ID

• Admin/Tech/Other Name

• Admin/Tech/Other Organization

• Admin/Tech/Other Street

• Admin/Tech/Other City

• Admin/Tech/Other State/Province

• Admin/Tech/Other Postal Code

• Admin/Tech/Other Country

• Admin/Tech/Other Phone

• Admin/Tech/Other Phone Ext

• Admin/Tech/Other Fax

• Admin/Tech/Other Fax Ext

2.5. In responses to domain name queries, in the value of the “Email” field of every

contact (e.g., Registrant, Admin, Tech):

2.5.1. Registrar MUST provide an email address or a web form to contact, but MUST NOT identify the contact email address or the contact itself. The email address and the URL to the web form MUST NOT contain or be derived in any way from the email address of the contact.

2.5.2. Registry Operator MUST provide a message substantially similar to the following: “Please query the RDDS service of the Registrar of Record identified in this output for information on how to contact the Registrant, Admin, or Tech contact of the queried domain name.”

**3. Requirements for Processing Personal Data in Public RDDS without a Nexus to the**

**European Economic Area (EEA)**

3.1. Registry Operator and Registrar MAY apply the requirements in Section 2 of this

Appendix where it has a commercially reasonable purpose to do so.

**4. Access to Non-Public Registration Data**

4.1. Registrar and Registry Operator MUST provide reasonable access to Personal Data in Registration Data to third parties for a purpose specified in Section 4 of this Temporary Specification and on the basis of a legitimate interests pursued

by the third party, except where such interests are overridden by the interests or fundamental rights and freedoms of the Registered Name Holder or data subject pursuant to Article 6(1)(f) GDPR.

4.2. Notwithstanding Section 4.1 of this Appendix, Registrar and Registry Operator MUST provide reasonable access to Personal Data in Registration Data to a third- party where the Article 29 Working Party/European Data Protection Board has provided guidance that the provision of specified non-public elements of Registration Data to a specified class of third-party for a specified purpose is lawful. Registrar and Registry Operator MUST provide such reasonable access within 90 days of the date ICANN publishes any such guidance, unless legal requirements otherwise demand an earlier implementation.

**5. Publication of Additional Data Fields**

Registrar and Registry Operator MAY output additional data fields, subject to the Data

Processing requirements in Appendix D.

Appendix B: Registrar and Registry Operator Service Level Agreement

This Appendix modifies the following: i) Section 2 of the Registration Data Directory Service (WHOIS) Specification in the 2013 Registrar Accreditation Agreement; ii) Registry Agreement requirements concerning Service Level Agreements.

This Appendix will become effective on the day that offering RDAP becomes a requirement pursuant to **Appendix A**.

1. The following additional requirements supplement the existing requirements in Section 2 of the Registration Data Directory Service (WHOIS) Specification of the 2013 Registrar Accreditation Agreement and Specification 10 of the Base Registry Agreement.

1.1. “Registration Data Directory Services” (RDDS) refers to the collective of WHOIS, Web

based WHOIS, and RDAP services.

1.2. The following definition is added to the performance specifications for Registry Operator and Registrars: “RDAP-query RTT” means the RTT of the sequence of packets from the start of the TCP connection to its end, including the reception of the HTTP response for only one HTTP request. If implementing a multiple-step process to get to the information, only the last step shall be measured. If the RTT is 5-times or more the corresponding SLR, the RTT will be considered undefined.

1.3. The definition for “RDDS query RTT” means: The collective of “WHOIS query RTT”, “Web-based-WHOIS query RTT”, and “RDAP-query RTT”.

2. The following requirements apply to Registry Operators with a Registry Agreement not modeled on the Base Registry Agreement. Such Registry Operator SHALL comply with the following performance specifications.

**2.1. Service Level Agreement Matrix**

**Parameter SLR (monthly basis)**

**RDAP** RDAP availability 864 min of downtime (98%) RDAP query RTT 2000 ms, for at least 95% of the queries RDAP update time 60 min, for at least 95% of the probes

Registry Operator is encouraged to do maintenance for the different services at the times and dates of statistically lower traffic for each service. However, note that there is no provision for planned outages or similar periods of unavailable or slow service; any downtime, be it for maintenance or due to system failures, will be noted simply as downtime and counted for SLA purposes.

**2.2 RDAP**

2.2.1 **RDAP Availability.** Refers to the ability of the RDAP service for the TLD, to respond to queries from an Internet user with appropriate data from the relevant Registry System. If 51% or more of the RDAP testing probes see any of the RDAP services as unavailable during a given time, the RDAP will be considered unavailable.

2.2.2 **RDAP query RTT.** Refers to the RTT of the sequence of packets from the start of the TCP connection to its end, including the reception of the HTTP response for only one HTTP request. If implementing a multiple-step process to get to the information, only the last step SHALL be measured. If the RTT is 5-times or more the corresponding SLR, the RTT will be considered undefined.

2.2.3 **RDAP update time.** Refers to the time measured from the reception of an EPP confirmation to a transform command on a domain name, hot or contact, up until the servers of the RDAP services reflect the changes made.

2.2.4 **RDAP test.** Means one query sent to particular “IP address” of one of the servers of one of the RDAP services. Queries SHALL be about existing objects in the Registry System and the responses MUST contain the corresponding information otherwise the query will be considered unanswered. Queries with an **RTT** 5

times higher than the corresponding SLR will be considered as unanswered. The possible results to an RDAP test are: a number in milliseconds corresponding to the **RTT** or undefined/unanswered.

2.2.5 **Measuring RDAP parameters.** Every 5 minutes, RDAP probes will select one IP address from all the public-DNS registered “**IP addresses**” of the servers for each RDAP service of the TLD being monitored and make an “**RDAP test**” to each one. If an “**RDAP test**” result is undefined/unanswered, the corresponding RDAP service will be considered as unavailable from that probe until it is time to make a new test.

2.2.6 **Collating the results from RDAP probes.** The minimum number of active testing probes to consider a measurement valid is 10 at any given measurement period,

otherwise the measurements will be discarded and will be considered inconclusive; during this situation no fault will be flagged against the SLRs.

2.2.7 **Placement of RDAP probes.** Probes for measuring RDAP parameters SHALL be placed inside the networks with the most users across the different geographic regions; care SHALL be taken not to deploy probes behind high propagation- delay links, such as satellite links.

**2.3 Covenants of Performance Measurement**

2.3.1 **No interference.** Registry Operator SHALL NOT interfere with measurement **Probes**, including any form of preferential treatment of the requests for the monitored services. Registry Operator SHALL respond to the measurement tests described in this Specification as it would to any other request from an Internet user for RDAP.

**2.4 Domain name used for RDAP monitoring**

2.4.1 Registry Operator SHALL provide ICANN a domain name to be used for RDAP

testing.

Appendix C: Supplemental Data Escrow Requirements

**1. Data Processing Requirements**

Registry Operator and Registrar MUST respectively ensure that any data escrow agreement between Registry Operator and the Escrow Agent and Registrar and the Escrow Agent include data processing requirements consistent with Article 28 GDPR where such Escrow Agents provides sufficient guarantees to implement appropriate technical and organizational measures in such a manner that Processing will meet the requirements of the GDPR and ensure the protection of the rights of the data subject.

**2. International Transfers**

In the course of performing the requirements under the agreement with the Escrow Agent, it may be necessary for the Escrow Agent to Process Personal Data in a country that is not deemed adequate by the European Commission per Article 45(1) GDPR. In such a case, the transfer and Processing will be on the basis of adequate safeguards permitted under Chapter V GDPR, including the use of Standard Contractual Clauses (2004/915/EC) (or its successor clauses), and the Escrow Agent and Controller MUST comply with such appropriate safeguards.

**3. Additional Requirements**

In addition to the above requirements, the data escrow agreement may contain other data processing provisions that are not contradictory, inconsistent with, or intended to subvert the required terms provided above.

Appendix D: Data Processing Requirements

In accordance with the “Interim Model for Compliance with ICANN Agreements and Policies in Relation to the European Union’s General Data Protection Regulation” and in particular in accordance with section 7.2.11.3. which states that each contracting party with ICANN is acting as an independent “Controller” (as defined in Article 2 GDPR) for purposes of GDPR compliance, Registrars and Registry Operators will each comply with the following Processing requirements:

**1. Principles for Processing**

Each Controller will observe the following principles to govern its Processing of Personal Data contained in Registration Data, except as required by applicable laws or regulations. Personal Data SHALL:

1.1. only be Processed lawfully, fairly, and in a transparent manner in relation to the Registered Name Holders and other data subjects (“lawfulness, fairness, and transparency”);

1.2. be obtained only for specified, explicit, and legitimate purposes, and SHALL NOT be further Processed in any manner incompatible with those purposes (“purpose limitation”);

1.3. be adequate, relevant, and not excessive in relation to the purposes for which they are

Processed (“data minimization”);

1.4. be accurate and, if necessary, kept current, as appropriate to the purposes for which

they are Processed (“accuracy”);

1.5. not be kept in a form that permits identification of the Registered Name Holder and other data subjects for longer than necessary for the permitted purposes (“storage limitation”); and

1.6. be Processed in a manner that ensures appropriate security of the Personal Data, including protection against unauthorized or unlawful Processing and against accidental loss, destruction or damage, using appropriate technical or organizational measures (“integrity and confidentiality”).

Each Registrar and Registry Operator SHALL be responsible for, and be able to demonstrate compliance with principles (1.1) to (1.6) (“accountability”). The Registrar and Registry Operator SHALL inform ICANN immediately if such Registrar or Registry Operator (i) cannot abide by the processing principles outlined in Section 1 of this Appendix, or (ii) upon receipt of a complaint by a Registered Name Holder or other data subject that the Registrar or Registry Operator has failed to abide by such principles.

**2. Lawfulness of Processing**

For Personal Data Processed in connection with the Registration Data Directory Services, such Processing will take place on the basis of a legitimate interests of the Controller or of the third party or parties to whom the Personal Data are disclosed. For other Personal Data collected for other purposes, such Personal Data SHALL not be Processed unless a legal basis specified under Article 6(1) GDPR applies.

**3. Specific Controller Processing requirements**

In addition to the general principles and requirements for lawful Processing, each Controller

SHALL comply with the following specific requirements:

3.1. **Implementing appropriate measures.** Implementing appropriate technical and organizational measures to ensure and to be able to demonstrate the Processing is performed in compliance with GDPR, such as appropriate data protection policies, approved code of conducts or approved certification mechanisms. Such measures SHALL be reviewed regularly and updated when necessary by the Controller;

3.2. **Engaging only selected Processors.** Engaging only selected Processors and implement a contract with the Processor that sets out the subject-matter and duration of the Processing, the nature and purpose of the Processing, the type of Personal Data and categories of data subjects and the obligations and rights of the Controller. The engagement of Processor must comply with Article 28

GDPR.;

3.3. **Designating a Data Protection Officer.** Designating a “Data Protection Officer” where required by Article 37 GDPR or Member State national data protection law;

3.4. **Maintaining a record of Processing.** Maintaining a record of the Processing

activities under the Controller’s responsibility in accordance with Article 30

GDPR;

3.5. **Providing transparent information. T**aking appropriate measures to provide any information referred to in Articles 13 and 14 GDPR and any communication under Articles 15 to 22 and 34 GDPR relating to Processing to the data subject in a concise, transparent, intelligible and easily accessible form, using clear and plain language;

3.6. **Facilitating of the exercise of data subject rights.** Facilitating the exercise of data subject rights under Articles 15 to 22 GDPR. In the cases referred to in Article

11(2) GDPR, the Controller SHALL NOT refuse to act on the request of the data subject for exercising his or her rights under Articles 15 to 22 GDPR, unless the Controller demonstrates that it is not in a position to identify the data subject;

3.7. **Implementing measures for data protection by design and by default.**

Implementing appropriate technical and organizational measures, both at the time of the determination of the means for Processing and at the time of the Processing itself, which are designed to implement data protection principles, in an effective manner and to integrate the necessary safeguards into the Processing in order to meet the requirements of the GDPR and to protect the rights of data subjects. Implement appropriate technical and organizational measures for ensuring that, by default, only Personal Data which are necessary for each specific purpose of the Processing are Processed.

3.8. **Implementing appropriate security measures.** Implementing appropriate technical and organizational measures to ensure a level of security appropriate to the risk of data processing, taking into account the state of the art, the costs of implementation and the nature, scope, context and purposes of Processing as well as the risk of varying likelihood and severity for the rights and freedoms of natural persons;

3.9. **Developing procedures for breach notification.** Developing procedures for breach notification to ensure compliance with the obligations pursuant to

Articles 33-34 GDPR. Any notifications provided in connection with Articles 33-34

GDPR will also be provided to ICANN.

3.10. **Observing conditions for international data transfers.** Observing conditions for international data transfers so that any transfer of Personal Data which are undergoing Processing or are intended for Processing after transfer to a third country or to an international organization SHALL take place only if, the conditions laid down in Chapter V of the GDPR are complied with, including for onward transfers of Personal Data from the third country or an international organization to another third country or to another international organization.

3.11. **Cooperating with Supervisory Authorities.** Cooperating with Supervisory

Authorities, on request, in the performance of their tasks.

Appendix E: Uniform Rapid Suspension

This Appendix contains supplemental requirements for the 17 October 2013 URS High Level Technical Requirements for Registries and Registrars. All other requirements not specified herein remain applicable and in force.

**1. URS High Level Technical Requirements for Registry Operator and Registrar**

1.1. **Registry Operator Requirement**: The Registry Operator (or appointed BERO) MUST provide the URS provider with the full Registration Data for each of the specified domain names, upon the URS provider notifying the Registry Operator (or appointed BERO) of the existence of a complaint, or participate in another mechanism to provide the full Registration Data to the Provider as specified by ICANN. If the gTLD operates as a “thin” registry, the Registry Operator MUST provide the available Registration Data to the URS Provider.

1.2. **Registrar Requirement**: If the domain name(s) subject to the complaint reside on a “thin” registry, the Registrar MUST provide the full Registration Data to the URS Provider upon notification of a complaint.

Appendix F: Uniform Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy

This Appendix contains supplemental requirements for the Rules for Uniform Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy (the “Rules”). All other requirements not specified herein remain applicable and in force.

**1. Uniform Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy**

1.1. **Registrar Requirement**: The Registrar MUST provide the UDRP provider with the full Registration Data for each of the specified domain names, upon the UDRP provider notifying the Registrar of the existence of a complaint, or participate in another mechanism to provide the full Registration Data to the Provider as specified by ICANN.

Appendix G: Bulk Registration Data Access to ICANN

This Appendix replaces the requirement in: i) Section 3.1.1 of Specification 4 of each Registry Agreement that is modeled on the Base Registry Agreement; ii) The relevant provision in Registry Agreement not based on the Base Registry Agreement to provide Bulk Registration Data Access to ICANN, also called “Whois Data Specification – ICANN” in some gTLD agreements.

1. **Contents.** Registry Operator MUST only provide the following data for all registered domain names: domain name, domain name repository object id (roid), Registrar ID (IANA ID), statuses, last updated date, creation date, expiration date, and name server names. For sponsoring registrars, Registry Operator MUST only provide: registrar name, registrar id (IANA ID), hostname of registrar Whois server, and URL of registrar.

Appendix H: Registry Operator Monthly Reports

Section 2 of Specification 3 of each Registry Agreement that is modeled on the Base Registry Agreement is updated to include the following fields in the Registry Functions Activity Report:

**Field # Field Name Description**

38 rdap-queries Total number of RDAP queries received during the period.

39 rdap-domain Number of RDAP domain queries, authorized or not, received during the period.

40 rdap-entity Number of RDAP entity queries, authorized or not, received during the period.

41 rdap-nameserver Number of RDAP nameserver queries, authorized or not, received during the period.

42 rdap-help Number of RDAP help queries, authorized or not, received during the period.

43 rdap-domain-authorized Number of successfully-authorized RDAP

domain queries received during the period.

44 rdap-entity-authorized Number of successfully-authorized RDAP

entity queries received during the period.

45 rdap-nameserver-authorized Number of successfully-authorized RDAP nameserver queries received during the period.

46 rdap-help-authorized Number of successfully-authorized RDAP

help queries received during the period.

47 rdap-rate-limit Number of RDAP queries refused due to rate limiting for the period.

48 rdap-search-domain Number of RDAP domain search queries, authorized or not, for the period.

49 rdap-search-entity Number of RDAP entity search queries,

authorized or not, for the period.

50 rdap-search-nameserver Number of RDAP nameserver search queries, authorized or not, for the period.

51 rdap-search-domain- authorized

Number of successfully-authorized RDAP

domain search queries for the period.

52 rdap-search-entity- authorized

Number of successfully-authorized RDAP

entity search queries for the period.

53 rdap-search-nameserver- authorized

Number of successfully-authorized RDAP

nameserver search queries for the period.

54 rdap-truncated-authorization Number of RDAP responses truncated due to lack of proper authorization. Includes both results and object truncation events.

55 rdap-truncated-load Number of RDAP responses truncated due to server load. Includes both results and object truncation events.

56 rdap-truncated- unexplainable

Number of RDAP responses truncated due to unexplainable reasons. Includes both results and object truncation events.

Appendix I: Supplemental Procedures to the Transfer Policy

This Appendix provides supplemental procedures for the [Transfer Policy applicable to all](https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/registrars/transfers-en)

ICANN-accredited Registrars.

1. Until such time when the RDAP service (or other secure methods for transferring data) is required by ICANN to be offered, if the Gaining Registrar is unable to gain access to then- current Registration Data for a domain name subject of a transfer, the related requirements in the Transfer Policy will be superseded by the below provisions:

1.1. The Gaining Registrar will not be required to obtain a Form of Authorization from the

Transfer Contact.

1.2. The Registrar of Record MAY deny a transfer if no response is received from the Registered Name Holder or Administrative Contact within the time allotted by the Transfer Policy.

1.3. The Registrant will independently re-enter Registration Data with the Gaining Registrar.

In such instance, the Gaining Registrar will not be required to follow the Change of

Registrant Process as provided in Section II.C. of the Transfer Policy.

2. As used in the Transfer Policy:

2.1. The term "Whois data" SHALL have the same meaning as "Registration Data".

2.2. The term "Whois details" SHALL have the same meaning as "Registration Data".

2.3. The term "Publicly accessible Whois" SHALL have the same meaning as "RDDS".

2.4. The term "Whois" SHALL have the same meaning as "RDDS".

3. Registrar and Registry Operator SHALL follow best practices in generating and updating the

“AuthInfo” code to facilitate a secure transfer process.

4. Registry Operator MUST verify that the “AuthInfo” code provided by the Gaining Registrar is

valid in order to accept an inter-registrar transfer request.

Implementation Notes

**1. Background on Board Adoption of Temporary Specification.**

1.1. **[TENTATIVE – PENDING BOARD ACTION]** [On

May 2018, the ICANN Board

adopted the Temporary Specification on gTLD Registration Data (“Temporary Specification”) pursuant to the procedures for the establishment of temporary policies in ICANN’s agreements with Registry Operators and Registrars.] The Temporary Specification provides modifications to existing requirements in the Registrar Accreditation Agreement and Registry Agreements about how gTLD registration data is collected, displayed, and processed. It addresses immediate temporary changes that are needed to maintain the stability or security of Registrar Services, Registry Services, the DNS and/or the Internet. At risk, absent the Board’s action in adopting the Temporary Specification, is the stable operation of the Internet, which relies on the basic concept that you cannot run

a hierarchical and decentralized system like the Internet (a network or networks)

if you cannot find the people who operate it to warn of problems and coordinate responses to operational issues. The WHOIS system makes this possible through the collection and publication of WHOIS registration data, which includes contact information for the Registrant, Administrative and Technical contacts as well as technical information associated with a domain name.

1.2. In particular, the Temporary Specification provides modified requirements to ensure continued availability of Registration Data Directory Services/WHOIS while complying with new legal regulations impacting how personal data in the domain name ecosystem is treated. This Temporary Specification avoids fragmentation of the WHOIS system by ensuring a common framework for continued provision and access to WHOIS services, which supports the critical role the WHOIS system plays in ensuring the operational integrity and continued trust upon which the DNS is built, and supports ICANN’s mission to “to ensure

the stable and secure operation of the Internet’s unique identifier systems”.

1.3. See the Advisory Statement: Temporary Specification for gTLD Registration Data for additional information on how the Temporary Specification preserves the WHOIS system in the context of security and stability, as well as steps ICANN has taken to build consensus support and to ensure that the Temporary Specification complies with the GDPR and addresses other public policy considerations.

**2. References**

2.1. [gTLD Registration Dataflow Matrix and Information**.**](https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/gtld-registration-dataflow-matrix-2017-07-24-en) With the help from Registrars and Registry Operators as well as interested stakeholders, ICANN collected information needed to help evaluate GDPR compliance in the context of registry, registrar, and registrant data. This information was used to inform legal analysis, as well as to engage with data protection authorities.

2.2. [Hamilton Memoranda. At the request of the community, ICANN org](https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/gdpr-legal-analysis-2017-11-17-en#memoranda) commissioned European law firm Hamilton to produce three memoranda outlining the GDPR’s impact on gTLD registration directory services. The memoranda concluded that WHOIS would have to change in light of the law, responded to community questions about the law, and provided examples of how WHOIS services may change to comply with the GDPR.

2.3. [Statement from ICANN Contractual Compliance. On 2 November 2017, ICANN](https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/contractual-compliance-statement-2017-11-02-en) issued a statement from ICANN Contractual Compliance regarding the ability of Registry Operators and Registrars to comply with their WHOIS and other contractual requirements related to domain name registration data in light of the European Union's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR).

2.4. [Community-Proposed Models for GDPR Compliance. In response to the](https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/gdpr-legal-analysis-2017-11-17-en#community-models) Statement from ICANN Contractual Compliance, several proposed models for GDPR compliance were submitted by various stakeholders.

2.5.  [ICAN N Organ iz at ion ’s Three Proposed Interim Compliance Model. On 12 January](https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/interim-models-gdpr-compliance-12jan18-en.pdf)

2018, ICANN org published three proposed interim models for compliance and sought community input. The models reflected discussions from across the community and with data protection authorities, legal analyses and the proposed community models received to date.

2.6.  [ICAN N Org’s Pro pose d Int er im GD PR Comp lian ce M od el (Calz on e) . On 28](https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/proposed-interim-model-gdpr-compliance-summary-description-28feb18-en.pdf)

February 2018, ICANN org published the Proposed Interim GDPR Compliance Model (Calzone), which incorporated input from the community and feedback from data protection authorities. The Calzone provides a high-level summary of the proposed model. In addition, ICANN org also published an updated [Working](https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/gdpr-draft-compliance-models-comments-28feb18-en.xlsx) [Draft Non-Paper that compares ICANN- and community-proposed models.](https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/gdpr-draft-compliance-models-comments-28feb18-en.xlsx)

2.7.  [ICAN N Org’s Pro pose d Int er im GDPR Compliance Model (Cookbook). On 8 March](https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/gdpr-compliance-interim-model-08mar18-en.pdf)

2018, ICANN Org published the Cookbook that contains the Proposed Interim GDPR Compliance Model and legal justification for collection and use of the WHOIS data included in the Calzone.

**3. Legal Basis and Purposes of Processing gTLD Registration Data Elements**